Draft Economic Development Strategy

Consultation responses, March 2022

Below highlights the responses received through the consultation period and actions taken as a result.

Organisation	Responses	Actions taken
Stroud District Council Policy Team	Identifying how the strategies Economic Objectives meet the requirements of the TOMs framework.	This is an ongoing piece of work that will be embedded within the action plan
	Confirming where priority areas align with the social value priorities and changes to procurement practices of the Council.	This is an ongoing piece of work that will be embedded within the action plan
	How will the strategy and action plan encourage innovation from businesses inc, creating local skills & employment and responsible businesses?	This is set out within action plan
Resident Stonehouse	How will the strategy tackle the issues of inequality, particularly health inequalities in the District?	Noted. This will be partnership work with community health and wellbeing team
	How will the strategy support young people and ensure they're engaged in a positive way?	Noted. Young person support will be carried out through identified partnership work i.e., colleges, schools, GCC, community groups
Resident	Need for stronger visible support for culture and to create friendly places for residents to meet and enjoy arts and music.	Noted. This is set out in action plan key economic objective "Boost our market towns and rural vitality"
	The District must consider nature in the high street	Noted. This sits within the sequestration ambitions.
Cotswold District Council	A positive response considering who priority areas we share and suggesting collaborative work moving forward. This is suggested in: - Tourism	Noted. No actions are required.

	 Climate change and the green economy Agritech Skills and Training Other issues that could benefit from a joined up approach include being rural locations and the need to improve public transport offers, broadband provision and the vitality of town centres. 	
Visit Glos	The strategy's vision meets the needs of the District but we should outline how Stroud and the County work The objectives and commitments of the strategy are strong; a stronger focus should be given to the hospitality sector as well as highlighting work on a "Stroud marketing" – a place in its own right in the area.	Noted. This is included in the Action Plan within the objectives "Boost our market towns and rural vitality" and "Support inward investment into the local economy"
Hawkins Watton Stroud Business	They did not feel all strategies and policies were highlighted that would have implications on the focus of the strategy, including the Employment Land Review. And stressed the need for the ELR to be reconsidered and critiqued.	ELR is part of planning, including in the Local Plan bullet point
	Further focus on the evaluation of measures, how do the District ensure these are focussed?	To be actioned. These will form part of the updates to committee and outcomes of the action plan
	Reference to the canal restoration should be greater – there is no reference to this in the strategy.	This sits within the action plan
	Where is data sourced from, this should be included.	Noted. Data sources have been included
	The reference to grow on space is correct, how do we ensure there is delivery of this. Can affordable business space be funded in a similar way to affordable housing through 106 agreements.	No specific way to tackle this considered in the strategy, this will be considered in the action plan.

Being a rural location, development happening by the motorway does not support improvements to the other locations that sit in the AONB.	Considered already in the strategy: "commercial space that is fit for purpose"
Focus on events that occur outside of the District, such as Gatcombe and the Cheltenham races should be referenced with regards to Agriculture, Agritech and Rural Diversification.	Noted. These are not included by name within the strategy to prevent it becoming an exhaustive list, but will be included as partnerships with neighbouring authorities highlighted at end of document.
Map out the unaffordable homes in the district	Noted. This is not a planning document and will not be included
Consideration made to the AONB being extended and the District included within the National Park format.	Noted. We understand AONB's are going through a review, this can be included in Action plan when reviewed, if appropriate.
Tourism sector should reference the demise of Tourist Information Centres.	Noted. This is looking back and not supportive of making strides to positive changes. New and modern ways to manage this expectation can be considered through tourism officer post
Reference is made to the tourism and visitor economy, but the old town hall has been unoccupied for years.	Comment, no action needed.
More detail including the Arts and Culture sector and potential for a heritage centre based upon Lyn Chadwick with a Sculpture Trail	Noted. Looked for more information on this but could not find it. It can form part of the actions with appropriate town and parish councils
Why is there no reference to Festomain in the manufacturing and advanced engineering sector?	As above – researched but found no reference
Parking in market town locations should consider an awards programme to promote spend in local shops and, if chargeable, should consider being free after three like other districts in the county.	This is considered within the action plan.

	Supportive of the idea that a comprehensive programme of events should be on offer for the District.	Comment. No action needed.
Stonehouse Town Council	The draft strategy is welcome and economic objective and commitments are supported.	Comment. No action needed.
	The priority sectors for Stonehouse are welcome, but there re opportunities to develop other areas, such as tourism and visitor economy.	Comment. No action needed.
	The Cotswolds Canal Connected projects should have greater emphasis to bring tourism and visitors to settlements along the canal.	This will be focussed with the action plan
South Gloucestershire	The strategy reads well with lots of ambition.	Comment. No action needed.
and Stroud College	Focus should be given to both further and higher education provision, particularly the low carbon cluster at Berkeley, led by the College (an FE).	Added
	There is focus on green property ambitions of the councils own estate but there should be more about the council encouraging local partners and businesses to do the same thing.	Added
	We should not forget that there are great partnerships to be had outside of the County geography, i.e., South Glos, WECCA, Bristol – considering the make-up of the Western Gateway. Hard border can be a barrier to effective economic growth and securing inward investment.	Added
	There is mention of reduced office occupant and potential co working space, but nothing around how to engage with the home working trend. Whilst lack of worker in the town centres is a threat, the opportunity is available for walking to local shops / cafes etc.	Noted. This is a beneficial point and local areas are anecdotally benefitting from working from home footfall and spend, work needs to be done to understand the impact of working from home on local area spend and any risks on this impacting the sustainability of those businesses when workers return to offices.

Skills	You've managed to strike a really good balance between keeping	Comment. No action needed.
GFirst LEP	the wording general enough to deal with changing circumstances	
	in the time period of the strategy and also giving some specifics	
	about 'how' SDC will deliver/support these objectives and priorities. No mean achievement as I definitely know how tricky	
	that is!	
	Agree with EO: Improve skills and opportunities and reduce	
	inequality. There are possible links for joint working with the employment and skills hub.	Taken forward in action plan.
	Agree with EO: Reduce carbon and ecological impacts. There are	
	possible links for joint working with other SW LEPS and SW Energy Hubs. This could combine well with the One Public Estate project.	Taken forward in action plan
	Agricultures, Agritech and Rural Diversification: agree there are	
	opportunities that haven't been maximised with RAU and Hartpury College. There are possible links for joint working.	Taken forward in action plan
	All references to career, skills and training support: Agree with the	
	approach being taken and recommend a closer relationship with the County to find suitable solutions.	Taken forward in action plan
	Not mentioned explicitly in the strategy but recommend consider	Taken forward in action plan
	how to support local employers recruit staff as competition intensifies. They will also need to attract a more diverse group of	
	employees and those currently further from the labour market.	
	This can be supported through the GFirst LEP Skills Strategy.	
Vice Chancellor	Support the objectives and routes to deliver the vision -	Comment. No action needed.
Hartpury University	specifically within and through partnership with the Council	
and College	around your priorities to; Improve skills and opportunities and address inequality.	

In terms of priority areas, Hartpury has recently significantly invested in Agri Technology facilities on the campus, with funding support through GfirstLEP and other funders for a range of economic focused projects.	Taken forward in action plan
We acknowledge your ambition to connect with the County's resources, at Hartpury we have capacity to support the Districts businesses with our range of specialist business support services and facilities that are available, including our Agri tech Centre and Business Accelerator.	Taken forward in action plan
Our range of courses and programmes are within easy reach of your identified specialist cluster(s), such as the Gloucester fringe.	Comment. No action needed.
We agree that Agri Technology has a significant role to play within Agriculture particularly the carbon agenda and working towards NetZero targets. Hartpury has specialist courses and in house expertise to partner and assist with the delivery of your proposed commitments.	Taken forward in action plan
In the context of your proposed partnerships to deliver the action plan, we would highlight the importance of the education sector, through the raising of aspirations of individual's whist at school and within compulsory education. The support and facilities available within the County's Further and Higher Education Institutions are an important resource and we would suggest a key partner in delivery of you ambitions.	Comment. No action needed.
Hartpury would welcome an opportunity to meet with Stroud District Council and discuss how we could progress a potential partnership.	Taken forward already – included in action plan

Dursley Town Council	The objectives and how we measure success should use the SMART approach.	Not yet changed, the action plan will be time specific. Focussing on increases / decreases etc. within the strategy could mean updates needed more regularly. Will include in Action Plan.
	The baseline data/picture is important as it points to where the priorities should be and how outcomes can be effectively measured.	Comment. No action needed.
	The commitments could be stronger on local markets and rural diversification	This has been included
	Recognise the importance of tourism and working together on this across market towns and the district is important (as we are just starting to see this develop).	This is within the partnership section at end of the strategy already.
	If priority areas are to be identified, then in respect of Dursley the selected priority 'Manufacturing and Advanced Engineering' should be replaced with 'Tourism and the Visitor Economy'.	Noted; the priority areas have now been removed.
	The importance of tourism to the town's local economy is highlighted in the Town Council's Strategic Plan and should therefore be clearly reflected in the District's Economic Development Strategy and page 19 as a priority.	Noted.

Gloucestershire	Transport Planning Comments:	
County Council, Officer Comments	The strategy does not reference a number of county wider strategies: Draft LIS, Gloucestershire Local Transport Plan, Gloucestershire Sustainable Energy Strategy 2039, Fastershire	Only SDC strategies are mentioned in the strategy, all others in Appendix 1. LIS, already noted, Transport plan, a planning document and not referred to in this instance, Energy strategy, included. Fastershire, could not find a strategy document.
	Transport Planning recognise the ambition to be carbon neutral; however, the strategy's vision does not include a vision for transport infrastructure in line with making the transition to a low carbon economy.	Noted, however this strategy is not a planning document and holds one vision only.
	The links to economic objectives could be to support economic development. For example: The resourcing of smarter choices and transport mode shift by building on changing attitudes and opportunities for transport; Support for open source data, innovation and low carbon infrastructure such as Electric Vehicle Charging Point (EVCP) mapping and secure e-bike parking; Investment in transformative new public transport infrastructure, multi-modal interchange and attractive cycle links; Effecting change on traffic dominated environments like the Wallbridge junction in Stroud; Maximising the potential of and access to rail across the district.	All comments considered within action plan.
	<u>Minerals and Waste Policy Comments</u> Support the inclusion of the circular economy, as there has not yet been a transition or shift to a circular economy re-phrasing the strategy to better reflect this.	Noted and changed

To deliver a successful and sustainable economy, we need the ability to re-imagine inter-sectoral link and not focus on just farming, agriculture, hospitality etc.	Within the strategy already.
Ecology (biodiversity) Comments	
There is no reference to the mandatory Biodiversity Net Gain in late 2023 for planning applications.	Noted, however this is not a planning document
There is no reference to the Environmental Land Management Scheme (ELMS)	Noted. Included
Heritage and Archaeology Comments	
It would be valuable to reference the historic environment in the area and the obvious promotion of tourism opportunities with linkage to walks and cycle ways.	To be included in action plan
Data and Evidence Comments	
Verification is needed on the proportion of businesses employed less than 10 people.	Noted. Changed
GVA data – there is more up to date data available	Noted. Changed
Timescales of datasets would be useful to understand if any data is inflated due to the pandemic.	Noted and timescales of datasets included.
Inclusivity and Employment Comments	
Wider outcomes to support inclusivity than reduce NEET numbers, i.e., providing employment and skills opportunities to those who have complex needs or multiple barriers to employment such as	I couldn't find the data on ONS or NOMIS so have not made changes as it would be difficult to track.

	people with disabilities, care leavers, BME groups, LGBTQ+ people.Economic Development CommentsThe strategy offers a lot of strategic fit, there are other county wider policies and plans relating to the economy that are not included. These can be found on our website.	Noted. Will include in Appendix 1
	Useful to reference future funding streams, i.e., levelling up fund and UK shared prosperity fund.	Noted. This is already in action plan
The Grace Network	The strategy was well received with the following comments made:	
	 Local business ownership is key to keeping wealth in the district. 	Noted. This is very important!
	 A cross department way of working to meet the vision is key 	Noted. Already mentioned within the strategy
	 Considering more than GVA for the strategies outcome is welcome 	Comment. No action needed
	 How do we know about businesses that are under the radar, i.e., work from home start ups? 	Unsure how to monitor this yet – but an important point
	 Inclusive growth must support our low income residents How do we plan to support the hard to reach businesses such as independent traders? 	Comment. In strategy already Noted. Will be included in our businesses engagement as a result of the action plan
	 We need new employment spaces but in the right locations Reducing the reliance on cars is needed EO: Support inward investment – do not forget about this 	Noted – in strategy already Noted This is an inclusive statement. If we list one business type, we have to list all
	sector investment and charity money Wealth creation is not just money.	Very important! This strategy seeks to do that with colleagues internally and our key partners and stakeholder

	We must ensure we keep the district unique	
Resident	Wotton is mentioned just once on page 19, and the	This is similar for all parish and towns.
Wotton-Under-Edge	Manufacturing and Advanced Engineering cluster should be ticked due to Renishaw and the agricultural box should be ticked also.	Noted – to be considered
	There is no reference to the pressures and poor retail facilities on W-u-E due to neighbouring tourist using services. I.e., parking, availability of doctors, unmaintained roads and poor internet connectivity.	Noted – this is too specific for a district wide strategy and whilst needs focus must not be at the detriment of increasing visitors.
	Better transport connections are vital, particularly the new Charfield rail station and cycle corridor. These are far more relevant to the area than the canal corridor.	In action plan for improvements to transport
	The cultural sector is important to the area, but no reference to Prema.	Noted. No changes made as the strategy doesn't want to be an exhaustive list of businesses from different sectors
	There is no reference to the growing energy and inflation crisis and the worsening need for food banks.	Noted. This is already in the strategy

Wotton-under-Edge	Concerns over SDC planning decision and loss of commercial space to residential.	Noted. However, this is not a planning document
	Concern that planning decisions reduce the buffer between villages and add pressure to resources and infrastructure.	Noted. However, this is not a planning document
	There should be more reference to agricultural diversification and the practical support needed for local traders.	Noted – will include in action plan
	There should be digital support for businesses that links to the District, County and Tourism apps available. This should support improving footfall and place shaping.	Noted – this is an action – will be included in action plan
	There has to be better broadband offers	This is already mentioned in the strategy and within the action plan
	There needs to be ways to support businesses who are affected by Covid.	Included in the action plan
	More support from SDC for free and accessible parking and access to EV charging points as well as bike storage.	Included in action plan
	Has there been a cost benefit analysis of the canals project carried out? The work doesn't positively impact W-U-E.	Noted. This is not the strategy to include this concern in.
	Public transport needs improvements and reference should be made to the Greenway SUSTRANS project for cycling and walking.	Improvements are set out within the action plan.
	There should be more support of local promotions and more joined up working with neighbouring councils.	Noted. This is already highlighted within the action plan
	Renishaw being the biggest employer in the parish is not mentioned.	Please note, no businesses are named in the strategy to stop it becoming an extensive list of businesses

Landlords can be a blocker to growth and are not mentioned.	Agree, however landlords are businesses too and should not be penalised. Will consider how to create actions to support this
Priority areas highlighted are incorrect for Wotton and should be: The Green Economy, Retail & Hospitality; Tourism & Visitor Economy; The Arts & Culture; Agriculture, Agritech & Rural Diversification; Manufacturing & Advanced Engineering and include a new area; Community Hubs	Priority areas removed from the strategy.